

## REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the opportunity of a telephone interview conducted on September 15, 2004. During the interview, Applicant requested clarification about language in Paper No. 8 pertaining to a 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, rejection. The Examiner stated that any such language was inadvertently included and should be ignored, and that no 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, issue remains in the Application. The foregoing will serve as Applicant's statement of the substance of the interview.

Claims 3, 5, 6, 8 and 10 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 9 and 11-16 are the claims currently pending in the Application.

Independent claims 1 and 7 are amended to clarify features recited thereby. These amendments do not introduce impermissible new matter into the Application.

Applicant believes that the Application is now allowable, and respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider the rejections and allow the Application. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this Amendment, or regarding the Application generally, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



George Brieger  
Registration No. 52,652

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser  
400 Garden City Plaza  
Garden City, New York 11530  
(516) 742-4343  
GB:eg